

**SPECIAL MEETING  
BOARD OF MAYOR AND ALDERMEN  
(PUBLIC PARTICIPATION)**

**August 7, 2007**

**7:00 PM**

Mayor Guinta called the meeting to order.

Mayor Guinta calls for the Pledge of Allegiance, this function being led by Alderman O'Neil.

A moment of silent prayer was observed.

The Clerk called the roll. There were thirteen Aldermen present.

Present: Aldermen Roy, Gatsas, Long, Duval, Osborne, Pinard, O'Neil, Lopez, Shea, DeVries, Garrity, Smith, Forest.

Absent: Alderman Thibault

Mayor Guinta advised that the purpose of the special meeting is to give residents of Manchester the opportunity to address the Board on items of concern affecting the community; that each person will be given only one opportunity to speak; that comments shall be limited to three minutes if everybody could keep it to three minutes because we're going to go probably beyond the half hour so I want to give everyone the opportunity to speak but when the Clerk calls 2.5 and then 3 minutes if you could stop at 3 minutes so we can get to the next person to allow all participants the opportunity to speak and any comments must be directed to the Chair. Mayor Guinta requested that any resident wishing to speak come forward to the nearest microphone, clearly state their name and address when recognized, and give their comments.

Robert Haines...not present.

Faith Guilmette, 316 Auburn Street, Manchester, NH (Re: Petition), stated:

The reason why I'm here is because I started a petition for the schools and the parks to keep the sex offenders away and I'd like to have a law passed like Jacob's Law to keep the children safe in the parks and schools area. I've had a lot of petitions on it and I've been working on it for about a month.

Jacqueline Dussault, 422 Concord Road, Weare, NH (Re: Petition), stated:

My comments are the same as Faith's.

Jonathan Teeling, 438 Front Street, Manchester, NH (Re: Recycling Facility), stated:

I'm here to discuss my opposition to the planned recycling facility. We cannot use residential roads as major arteries for commercial centers. Alderman Forest at the Zoning meeting you said it won't be that bad. Three hundred truck trips per day, six days per week, one truck every two minutes, 700 tons of waste on our roads per day, 93,600 trucks, 218,400 trucks...tons of waste per year. Aldermen it is that bad and you need to work for your constituents on this matter. Alderman Duval you have an article in the paper here from yesterday talking about Rhode Island Avenue traffic issues...Front Street and Dunbarton Road have the exact same traffic issues if not worse than these roads. Now the City only wants to make it worse for money. I want to do the same thing for our neighborhood that you would do for your ward. Alderman Roy you're complaining about a cell phone tower being built in Hooksett I don't agree with the cell phone tower either but you cite safety concerns as well as property values as for the reasons not being built. You're going to stand here and look me in the eye and tell me the residents of Front Street and Dunbarton Road aren't going to have a far worse time with property values and safety concerns if this is built. In addition to the quality of life aspect which let's face it a tower is not going to do anything compared to 300 truck trips per day. Mayor Guinta you claimed 2007 as the year of the neighborhood that is unless your neighborhood is in the way of revenue. Now you stated in the February 27<sup>th</sup> article in *The Union Leader* this was no empty proclamation but a collaboration of the City's efforts to make a demonstrated change in the economic growth in the public safety and quality of life in the City's neighborhoods. What about Dunbarton Road and Front Street? Now you spoke against the proposal of the condos at the baseball stadium, which would only add to the tax base citing to the Zoning Board traffic concerns. Our meeting was last Thursday, our traffic concerns are far worse than what's going to go down by the baseball stadium. You did not show up and no one here came to that meeting to cite what is actually going on in our neighborhood. Now information...my response to Manchester and Corcoran building this facility and using residential roads to get there is simply no trucking way. Thank you.

Christine Dame, 770 Brown Avenue, Manchester, NH (Re: Dogs), stated:

I've been a Manchester resident for over 45 years and the last 17 years I've lived across from the park on the corner of Brown and West Mitchell Streets. I bought my house there because it gave me a lot of opportunities as a parent and as a pet owner. Now there's a lot of problems when you live near a park...there's problem with other users...that's undeniable. Over the years there were times I had to keep my child indoors because of balls flying into my yard and the City did address it...they redid the park and they did a great job but we still have problems with some things. We have problems with dog waste and that's been in the news. We also have a lot of problems with trash. People that use our parks leave litter...smelly litter that attracts wildlife and other animals and is a problem. They drink in our parks, they're out there all day playing softball drinking and then they drive by the children in the playground. And now I read that the City wants to take away my right to use

a park that I pay for with my dog. Will I pick up and most people do pick up. I pick up everything I see whether it's from people or dogs. Most of the people who go there pick up but there's people that do leave trash and they leave cigarette butts and they cause a hazard for everybody. Now I went out and I got these petitions signed because unlike the leagues that play in the parks and make complaints about dogs there's no place for people who have dogs to go. So I had to go from place-to-place to try and get all of these signatures and I want to talk to you about the people that I met. I met young mothers with their dogs and their children; I met whole families with their children and their dogs, young couples with dogs practicing to being parents. The most important people I met were the old people...the people who often live alone and all they have is that pet and when they go to their neighborhood park people who otherwise would not even notice them come over and talk to them and they make connections and you're going to tell me that these people shouldn't be allowed to bring their dogs to the park. Well see this leash it has two ends...the dogs don't have rights, you're right dogs don't have rights but when you take away the freedom from the person on the other end remember it's a person, it's not a dog that you're restricting, it's the rights of the person who counts and who pays taxes. Thank you.

Timothy Dame, 770 Brown Avenue, Manchester, NH (Re: Dogs), stated:

I'm all set...I'm just here with her.

Robert Tarr, 318 spruce Street #3, Manchester, NH (Re: Public Safety), stated:

Tonight I just wanted to propose a proposal that we have here in Ward 5. It's part of a six-phase plan that we've been working on. I'd like to thank you, Mayor, for your ward-by-ward meetings...you have heard our concerns, you have fixed our roof on our pool area, you have fixed our pool floor and I thank the Aldermen who have been participating in that as well. The area is starting to get cleaned up but we still have a long way to go for that area to be taking care of the blight. Tonight I have a proposal...I went to one fencing company which with approval from the Mayor just to get a free quote...other companies weren't free quotes so I waited on those. The quote basically talks about the fencing area around Hunt's Pool. I have contacted Parks and Recreation and Parks and Recreation had said one year they spent \$5,000 on a residential chain link fence and within 24 hours later it was cut open in six different places. Recently we've been tracking the trespassing of children from the ages of 10 years old all the way up to 19 year olds who entered the park and the pool area from ten o'clock until three o'clock in the morning. In July we had six reports of criminal trespass...I mean in June we had six reports of criminal trespass, in July we had 16 reports of criminal trespass of kids playing in the pool area after dark, after hours and criminally trespassing. This also takes away resources for our Police Department who are handling even more serious crime than just criminal trespass. Criminal trespass is a low priority so obviously police officers don't get to that right away. So tonight we have a proposal we just would like you members of the Board, your Honor to look over for next year's CIP funding to see if there is something we can do about replacing the chain link fence with a more sturdy steel appropriate fencing so they cannot be cut into. This will add years of beauty and years

of free maintenance to the area and also clean up the park. So again I'd like to thank you for taking the time tonight for hearing my proposal and I have them here for you for your review.

Siobhan Tautkus, 122 Arah Street, Manchester, NH (Re: Re-entry Project), stated:

I spent five years on the Adult Prison Parole Board and I was formerly the Vice President of Services at Lake Shore Hospital which was a psychiatric and chemical dependency facility here in Manchester and I am here to request that this Board prevent the grant from being implemented...that is the grant that was accepted by the County Attorney for the Re-entry Project here in Manchester and to hopefully see what you can do as I said to prevent that from being implemented. While I appreciate the willingness of the County Attorney to try to do something with the criminals transitioning to the streets of Manchester. I don't believe that we should be encouraging more to be transitioned to this community and I do not believe that this grant will solve that problem. This population has already failed every other program available to it. There is no such thing as one strike you're out in Manchester. These people have already failed the other programs available to them...that is they've already been on probation and parole before and failed. They've been through the jails, they've been on probation, they've been through treatment programming and as a last resort they've ended up in prison. Now they're being paroled to the streets of Manchester. They are being brought back here with a thousand other like-minded individuals within the one square mile area of our City. The argument by our County Attorney is that they've coming here anyway. That is not necessarily the case. These people put very little thought into where they're going and what they're doing and there really isn't anybody out there to contradict or to suggest otherwise...believe me when I tell you. The other argument is that when you ask someone where are you going, what are your plans...not much, not much. This program is going to take these folks six months before they come out of prison and bring them back here because they've got a relative here. What would happen if they took them and said what if we gave you money, a place to live and counseling and we'd give you a home in Portsmouth, why wouldn't they say yes...they don't even suggest that...look we're going to bring you back to Manchester because they may have a cousin, a brother, a kid or whatever...they bring them right back to Manchester with no thought whatsoever just because this may have been the scene of the crime. I don't think it will work because for another reason if you saw in the paper there's a director, an assistant director, three case workers and only one part-time mental health worker and one part-time drug and alcohol counselor to do an assessment. These people are already assessed by the institution and they decide the requirements of parole. They're not going to get the treatment that they supposedly need. Supposedly 85% of these people need drug and alcohol counseling when they come out. This program isn't providing that. This is a bureaucratic program or an employment program. This isn't a program that provides treatment for the people. It is simply a program that's going to eat those federal dollars and not provide them. I'd request just two more minutes if that's okay with you so I can finish this testimony.

Mayor Guinta stated unfortunately Siobhan we have to move on we've got a long agenda tonight.

Ms. Tautkus stated there's not going to be any other opportunity to rebut what the County Attorney's here to tell you.

Mayor Guinta reiterated we've got to move on I apologize.

Ms. Tautkus stated alright.

Leo Pepino, 73 Walnut Street, Manchester, NH (Re: Sex Offenders Ordinances), stated:  
In front of you tonight on your agenda is my sex offender ordinance and there's four copies there from other cities and towns...what it's all about is they are all to protect our children. I'm not sure if you're aware of this right now but your big landlords with 30, 40, 50 apartments they screen all their people before they rent to them to see if they are sex offenders because I checked with a lot of landlords about this. Now our local Police Chief he came out in favor of this which I thought was very nice but he said it would be hard to enforce well there is no enforcement in this one and if you read what I gave you it's as one police chief said if it saves one child the ordinance has served its purpose. Another police chief said the parents would feel comfortable with their children outside. Now I'm going to ask you please send this to a committee, let a committee work on it. I know what it needs; you know what it needs. If you want I'll work with the committee but please don't receive and file this because this is something for the children. Now you're all talking about being tough on crime this is tough on crime against children. I thank you.

Steve Vaillancourt, 161 Faith Lane, Manchester, NH (Re: Re-entry Project), stated:  
Thank you, bienvenue, welcome...a little bit of culture shock I just got back from the Franc-aux-Folie and when I returned...I'm here as a State Representative tonight...when I returned there was no message on my answering machine, there was no e-mail message for me, there was nothing in my mailbox telling me that you were going to talk with County Attorney Wageling tonight. I find that somewhat surprising that I would not be informed since I'm the only State Rep on the Hillsborough County Executive Committee that voted against this outrage and I thank Siobhan Tautkus for bringing it out earlier. So she's correct. You're having Attorney Wageling here to institute a full employment for bureaucrats program at the expense of the law-abiding citizens of Manchester. These people that are coming back to Manchester to go into the \$680,000 Re-entry Project could go anyplace in the state if we didn't have this. Now they're going to be forced to come back to Manchester...they're not just petty thieves, these are violent offenders, these are sex offenders and in order for a little empire building by our County Attorney they're all coming on down to Manchester. What I didn't tell you in the letter I wrote to you a few weeks ago was that this program was originally supposed to be a statewide program and the Department of Corrections (DOC) was going to run it on a statewide basis but they couldn't get their act together at the DOC so

we were going to forfeit or to not get the \$680,000 when County Attorney Wageling stepped in and said ooh you can't do it at the state level let's let them all come on down to Manchester albeit the bureaucracy that puts it together and Ms. Tautkus is absolutely correct...I have for you page after page after page of job descriptions of these bureaucrats. I would rather try to stop crime on the mean streets of Manchester by getting more police out there, by getting community watch groups instead of trying to cut down recidivism. Now I'm sure that County Attorney Wageling would like to be here to rebut her tonight...we'll tell you oh we can lower our recidivism...lower sexual offenders recidivism and violent offenders I don't think so. She'll point to statistics out of Maine where this program has actually lowered recidivism but what you get are the people most likely to go the other way from recidivism they enter the program so it's a self-fulfilling prophecy. Meanwhile the rest of the violent criminals the sex offenders are going to be relocated to Manchester thanks to the vote mostly of State Reps not from Manchester because they think it's good that we ought to be inundated in Manchester. Thank you Siobhan and I do wish you'd inform me that you had planned to have Ms. Wageling here so I could have at least had the honor of knowing that oh I had to find out about it from the radio station calling to report it. So thanks and no thanks.

Glenn Ouellette, 112 Auburn Street, Manchester, NH, stated:

Your Honor and Aldermen...welcome to Manchester. You open our doors and who do you bring in the criminals...I can't believe it. How can you do that? You want to hire more officers which I'd be the first to admit that we need them but now you're going to have to hire more. Not too long ago...a week or two ago you had an event at the ballpark...Fisher Cat ballpark and what you had there was to me more like a farce and I've talked to a lot of people and they kind of agreed with it. You spent \$88,000 to \$90,000 over the last four or five years per year to start a convention organization in this City and this year the Mayor funded it but the rest of the Aldermen decided not to fund it so you can forget building any new hotels...they're not coming. You turn around and you have this big fiasco but you're going to put all of this money into inviting people into the downtown...who are you inviting? Most of our crimes are being committed by citizens who do not live in this City. They come from the outside. You need to find a way to tell the public outside of Manchester if you come here to commit a crime we mean business and we're going to put you away and send you back on your way. We are not tough on crime in this City. I've been telling you that for years. You still decide who is going to be punished for a crime and if another person commits the same crime the punishment will not be the same or none at all. Not too many month ago, your Honor, this City was up in an uproar because a YMCA employee allowed students, young students...9, 10 years old to go to a field trip that was not appropriate...she lost her job. We had a fire station that was closed so they could do some cooking. I didn't even hear a slap on the hand...I'm not asking you to fire anybody I'm just saying what are you showing to the people. You're not treating people alike. Where is your leadership? The last thing I'm going to say tonight is last year according to the FBI statistics we had 59 rapes in this City...unacceptable. Stanford, Connecticut with over 21,000 people had over

14...send someone to Stanford and find out what they're doing that's right and that \$680,000 that you're talking about do you really think that's going to benefit the City...bring on the criminals.

Paul Porter, 237 Woodcrest Court, Manchester, NH (Re: Taxes), stated:

I am here briefly to talk about a couple of issues. One that we've noticed in the newspaper of late a dispute as to whether tax money has been used for the payment of the bonds for the Riverfront Stadium...the \$27.5 million bond which includes Gill Stadium. Just a recap...earlier that there was supposed to be \$40 million of assessment certified by the Assessor's in order to issue the bonds. Well, I don't know how it could be asked of anyone to certify something that isn't there. I've never heard of anybody willing to certify that something will be there with a guarantee can only be an estimate of what will happen if certain things occur and I think this is what the Assessor's have done. What I'm concerned with is that the \$40 million that was the amount of the assessed value put on prior to the revaluation at the old tax rate of \$28.36 per thousand this would have generated along with the \$750,000 lease from the ballpark adequate revenues to retire the debt service on that bond. However, realistically if anyone were to take a look at the market then and we're talking three to four years ago it was definitely seen that there would be somewhat of a downturn with interest climbing the way they were. We are now faced with a project that has \$26 million worth of assessed value. This is of approximately \$438,000 in tax revenue. If you add that to the \$750,000 for the lease of the ballpark you're ending up somewhere in the vicinity of \$600,000 to \$650,000 a year short. I know we've heard terms of a Make Whole Agreement, Letters of Credit. I can't picture any developer entering into an agreement that they're going to continue to pay forever on property that isn't built. I think it's a serious concern. I am not the least bit surprised that the City is indeed paying money towards that bond. We do have a difference of opinion between the present Finance Director and the former Deputy Finance Director who was basically the architect of this agreement. We have the Finance Director Mr. Clougherty saying they're both right...well, they can't both be right. Either we are or are not using taxpayer money. If we're using upwards of \$600,000 a year we don't have enough money to pave the roads properly and I did read with interest today about the statistics show how safe people are well I'll tell you what people do not feel safe in the City with what's happened in the past few years and I just would like to say that we also have a number if not all of the union contracts that are not signed, we just don't have the money so how do we have the money to pay towards a bond on property that we were promised would not cost the taxpayers a dime. As far as statistics are concerned I'd like to just leave you with this. If I stand with one foot in a bucket of ice water and the other foot in scalding water on the average I'm comfortable. Those are statistics but I would like also to leave you with the fact that I certainly would like to think we are not paying but unfortunately I'm afraid we are. Thank you.

Calum McNeil, 471 Silver Street, Manchester, NH (Re: Progress), stated:

I believe in the power of great man, I believe in the power of good men...I believe all of you are great and good men. I think you're great representatives of our City...why am I here now because you as a Board and as the City Mayor went to the ZBA Board which is not in your jurisdiction and you put a referendum before it where you decided that that development was something you wanted to put in for. Now I ask of you the same thing except this time it's a little bit different. I'd like you to change referendum a little bit and this has to do with...how I don't know how many people here served in Vietnam...has anybody here served in Vietnam...anybody in the audience. I don't know if you ever heard of the Gulf of Tong Kim...it's a very famous battle...2005 the U.S. government admitted that all of that battle was completely faked, the whole Vietnam War, your friends and those of you who were there you know about this. I'd ask this Board to take the same authority that it did when it went to the ZBA Board and said I don't think this is the right policy for the City of Manchester and I'm asking for this Board to condemn the fact that we had a false flag action in the United States that went and served and died for...it's not a big deal, it takes two seconds...this is not the right thing to do. You have to start from the ground up. Great men and large changes aren't an instant thing...this is a small change that has to happen but it has to happen. This is not a play time folks, this is not worrying about sex offenders coming to our neighborhoods, this is not distraction time, this is not hey I'm worried about a cookout and someone died of a heart attack that maybe it could have happened a different way...the Fire Department...these guys are absolutely great and I don't think anyone should be fired or whatever happens. We need to look at the bigger picture. We're sitting on a small Board in a small City in the State of New Hampshire but we're a very powerful Board...more powerful Board than a lot of people recommend and I think we need to step up and start acting like a powerful board. I asked for a simple referendum...the United States should not have false flag activities. These men should not have gone to war, his friend should not have died for false flag activities and I'd also like to jump on the bang wagon and say that I do believe that we do need to have a city manager...not to take away the position of the Mayor in his capacity...I think he does a great job, I think promoting the City is a very important thing particularly in day-to-day functions and take pressure off of him. These things are going to happen...we can all talk about the falling dollar a thousand times but our interest rates and our simple inflation rate doesn't portray what's really happening all the time. We all understand that we have lost a lot of buying power...this is not fake buying power...next time I'll come with my friends and we'll each talk for three minutes each...I'm sorry about that and I'll be here in September. Thank you guys.

Will Infantine, 89 Winward Lane, Manchester, NH (Re: Sex Offender Ordinance), stated:

I'm here to follow up on what Representative Pepino mentioned about sex offenders having to live a certain distance away from City facilities such as schools and parks. I handed out to you a copy of HB340 a bill I sponsored in January proposing to do just the same on a statewide basis. The bill was not received well for one reason and that is because New



Hampshire has yet to define certain types and classifications of sex offenders the way other states bordering us including Massachusetts have done so. They have a 4-item criteria...1 being the least offensive and 4 the most aggressive. Currently the Criminal Justice Committee met today...meeting once a week for the next three weeks to try to determine what classification should be held. Unfortunately this bill did not pass. I tried to get the bill retained; however, my colleagues in the House were not so supportive although certain representatives in this City were. I do believe we need to put something in place, however, we have to be very cautious in how we do it because what's happening right now is many cities and towns are having knee jerk reactions and are trying to create a mechanism where there will be no place in their community where these people can live and what happens then is other towns surrounding that feel that they're all going to go to their town so it creates a very dangerous situation. We do need to have some type of security for our children in and around our parks and our schools. I have two young daughters going to Weston School and I am concerned with people watching the school or being close to the playground. So I would also ask the Board to consider this and to work on this, look at the bill that's in front of you but try to come up with something that is not so restrictive that towns like Hooksett and Auburn start retaliating against us so that people have no other place to live. Thank you very much, Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Guinta recessed the special meeting on public participation of the Board to call the regular meeting to order.

Mayor Guinta called the special meeting on public participation back to order.

Allen Duffis, 37 Westland Avenue, Manchester, NH (Re: dog regulations), stated:

I'd like to address the issue already addressed by Christine Donnelly about the restrictions proposed for people walking dogs and it may seem benign in some ways when you talk about the tremendous problems of being up here tonight...sex offenders and everything else like that but in some ways it does actually fit it. People who walk dogs on the average are law abiding citizens who pay taxes, who pay for the right to have those dogs and one of the things you seem to be missing is the fact that when they walk them in the mornings and they walk them like I do in the evenings just about everybody out there walking a dog has a cell phone. You have an army of unpaid surveillance officers in the field in some of these places which are way out and not watched. Okay I'm not trying to be funny I'm serious...you do and if something happens they get on their cell phone and they call. This is a small...a great reward to get for a slight inconvenience from time-to-time with someone not picking up dog waste...most of them do...not that anybody would want to go and look in the waste containers but you always see that there's always bags full of those "things" people are doing the right thing. These are citizens who seem to have no rights. We've been talking about where's the sexual offender going to live...what about the dog owners...what has a dog ever done to anybody other than walk with the owner and keep a companionship...that's all. We should give more concern to something small like this which is very very important to the

citizens who do own pets and who are responsible and take care of them. Like I said you have people out there walking dogs with cell phones that's an unpaid police force patrolling areas that normally the police don't have the time which I wouldn't expect them to do to be there in force. One cell phone call from a dog owner and something going on is reported and they get their life back. Where are you going to buy that for a cheaper price...it's a plastic bag and the owner picking up dog poop. That's all I have to say.

Keith Hirschmann, 296 Dunbarton Road, Manchester, NH (Re: Dunbarton Road), stated: I don't want to belabor the issue but I am here tonight to support my neighbors and my City and continue to fight against Corcoran Environmental Services a company that wants to bring trash and recycling products from as far as Vermont, Maine, Massachusetts, northern New Hampshire all to our community for profit. It's being done in this instance as our neighborhood is being sold out but we're going to be vigilant, we're going to fight this fight. I know that you all voted for this thing unanimously without even a public hearing of our neighborhood. Everyone to a person...democrat, republican, independent...they're all against this project. The Zoning Board did not grant the variance, they asked for a continuance because they realized 400 trucks a day will hit the Amoskeag Rotary, will hit Front Street, will hit Dunbarton Road, will totally destroy west Manchester. So I just want you to know that we are going to be vigilant and we want to stop this. I did some research and down in the Brown Avenue Industrial Park which is an industrial park there is a giant facility at 300 Gay Street...it has a railroad line right behind the building so recyclables could be railed out of Manchester not tying up our roads. A facility like that is where this project belongs. You've got to look at this thing you can't hurt west Manchester. Thank you.

Katherine Gatsas, 516 Island Pond Road, Manchester, NH (Re: Mayoral Candidate Announcement), stated:

I'm here to announce my candidacy for Manchester's Mayor. I'm in this race to improve the lives of Manchester's people. Mine is not a big bucks campaign, no glitz, no glamour, no sellout. I'm offering common sense leadership and straight talk to the people of Manchester. My number one priority is Manchester's crime epidemic. Police Chief Jaskolka now tells us that arrests have gone down 9%...focus on the word arrests. Does every crime reported have an arrest? Statistic variables have not been told. The important crime index is knowing the number of crimes reported. The people have a right to know the truth about Manchester's crime. Let's publish the Police Department daily activity log on the Police Department website. The Right to Know Law gives people access to know what their government is doing. Frank Guinta was found guilty of breaking the Right to Know Law. A Hillsborough County Superior Court judge ordered Guinta to comply with the law. What secret is Frank Guinta keeping from the people. We have a right to know what our public safety department is doing or is that a secret too. Frank Guinta's political posturing is underway. The people will not be fooled by Guinta's reelection zeal. Elect Katherine Gatsas Manchester's Mayor...you'll get straight talk from me. Thank you very much.

There being no one else present wishing to speak, on motion of Alderman Pinard, duly seconded by Alderman Roy, it was voted to take all comments under advisement and further to receive and file any written documentation presented

There being no further business to come before the Board, on motion of Alderman Pinard, duly seconded by Alderman Roy, it was voted to adjourn.

A true Record. Attest

City Clerk